## III. REMARKS

Claims 1-36 are pending in this application. By this amendment, claims 1, 13, 16, 19, 23, 29 and 31 have been amended. Applicant does not acquiesce in the correctness of the rejections and reserves the right to present specific arguments regarding any rejected claims not specifically addressed. Further, Applicant reserves the right to pursue the full scope of the subject matter of the original claims in a subsequent patent application that claims priority to the instant application. Reconsideration in view of the following remarks is respectfully requested.

In the Office Action, claims 1, 2, 4-15 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Timbol (U.S. Patent No. 6,237,135), hereafter "Timbol," in view of Landsman et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,314,451), hereafter "Landsman," and further in view of Lo (U.S. Patent No. 6,738,804), hereafter "Lo," and further in view of Strandberg et al. (U.S. Patent No, 6,816,880), hereafter "Strandberg." Claims 16, 19, 20, 23-26 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Timbol in view of Lo and further in view of Strandberg. Claims 29-31 and 34-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Timbol in view of Landsman and further in view of Strandberg. Claims 17, 18 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Timbol in view of Lo, Strandberg and Landsman. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Timbol in view of Landsman, Lo, and Strandberg and further in view of Irelend et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,266,666), hereafter "Ireland." Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Timbol in view of Lo, Strandberg and Ireland. Claims 32 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable being unpatentable over Timbol in view of Lo, Strandberg and Ireland. Claims 32 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as allegedly being

DEC.22'2005 15:53 518 449 0047

unpatentable over Timbol in view of Landsman and Strandberg and further in view of Saboff (U.S. Patent No. 6,154,878), hereafter "Saboff."

With respect to independent claims 1, 16, 19, 23 and 29, Applicant respectfully submits that the cited references fail to teach or suggest, *inter alia*, that the web page source file is a file that is separate from the web page and that is used to generate the web page and define functionality of the web page as well as other web pages. The Office admits that Timbol, Landsman, and Lo do not expressly this limitation. The Office instead relies on Strandberg, which the Office states teaches requesting information from the server where the client workstation accesses data from multiple sources simultaneously to display interactively on the web page. However, Strandberg does not teach a web page source file that is separate from the web page and that is used to generate the web page and define functionality of the web page as well as other web pages. Accordingly, Applicant requests that the rejection be withdrawn.

With further respect to independent claims 13, 16 and 29, Applicant respectfully submits that the cited references fail to teach or suggest, *inter alia*, automatically retrieving from the web page source file one or more configurable properties of the configurable reusable component for use in the newer version of the reusable software component. The Office admits that Timbol and Landsman do not teach this feature. Office Action, page 7, para. 1. Instead the Office relies on a passage of Lo, which teaches refreshing data elements of a browser window using a control routine. However, Lo does not teach that the re-rendered data elements are newer versions of the same data element. Furthermore, Lo also does not teach that one or more configurable properties of the former data elements are retrieved from a web source file for use in the updated data element. In contrast, the claimed invention includes "...automatically retrieving from the web

09/972,549

page source file one or more configurable properties of the configurable reusable component for use in the newer version of the reusable software component." Claim 13. As such, unlike in Lo, in the claimed invention one or more configurable properties of the configurable reusable component are automatically retrieved from the web page source file for use in the newer version of the reusable software component. Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests that the rejection be withdrawn.

With respect to the dependent claims, Applicant herein incorporates the arguments presented above with respect to the independent claims from which they depend. Furthermore, Applicant submits that all dependent claims are allowable based on their own distinct features. Since the cited art does not teach each and every feature of the claimed invention, Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of this rejection.

## IV. CONCLUSION

In addition to the above arguments, Applicant submits that each of the pending claims is patentable for one or more additional unique features. To this extent, Applicant does not acquiesce to the Office's interpretation of the claimed subject matter or the references used in rejecting the claimed subject matter. Additionally, Applicant does not acquiesce to the Office's combinations and modifications of the various references or the motives cited for such combinations and modifications. These features and the appropriateness of the Office's combinations and modifications have not been separately addressed herein for brevity. However, Applicant reserves the right to present such arguments in a later response should one be necessary.

09/972,549

Page 14 of 15

In light of the above, Applicant respectfully submits that all claims are in condition for allowance. Should the Examiner require anything further to place the application in better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact Applicant's undersigned representative at the number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: December 22, 2005

Hoffman, Warnick & D'Alessandro LLC Three E-Cornm Square Albany, New York 12207 (518) 449-0044 (518) 449-0047 (fax)

RAD/hew

09/972,549